



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/003,312	•	12/06/2001	Katsuaki Isobe	001701.00129	7010	
22907	7590	04/23/2003				
BANNER &	WITC	OFF	EXAMINER			
1001 G STRE SUITE 1100			LUU, AN T			
WASHINGTO	ON, DC	20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			•	2816		
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	\mathbb{R}			
	Application No.	pplicant(s)	-			
•	10/003,312	ISOBE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
e.	An T. Luu	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she t	with th correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become g date of this communication, even	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26</u>						
, _	his action is non-final.					
 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims 			S			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,16 and 17</u> is/are rejected.		٠.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, -	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Kummor.					
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 H S C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority under 33 0.5.C	. g 119(a)-(d) 01 (l).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received					
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document		Application No. 09/505 204				
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	·				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	on).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6-7 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the You reference (U.S. Patent 5,319,253).

Park discloses in figure 6 an apparatus comprising a clock inverter circuit 100B receiving a first pulse signal (WL) for outputting a second pulse signal (output of INV38) having a pulse width greater than a pulse width of the first pulse signal (by means of INV34-38); and a logic circuit (NOR 39) receiving the second pulse signal an inverted signal of the first pulse signal (output of 33) wherein the NOR 39 outputs a third pulse signal having a shorter pulse width than that of the second pulse signal as required by claims 1-2. It is noted that the third pulse signal will have a longer pulse width than that of the second pulse signal if the second pulse signal has a pulse width shorter than a pulse width of the first pulse signal because the third pulse is a NORing function of the first pulse signal and its delayed version. Consequently, the trailing edge of the third pulse is determined by delayed version of the first pulse signal (output of the clock inverter circuit).

As to claims 6-7 and 16-17, the scopes of them are similar same as those of claims 1 and 2. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reason set forth above.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the You reference (U.S. Patent 5,319,253).

You discloses all the claim invention except for explicitly showing a NAND gate in his logic circuit. However, it is known in the art that NAND and NOR logic gates are used to change a duty cycle of a signal (i.e., changing pulse width) in which NAND gate is commonly used for lengthen the pulse wherein NOR gate is commonly used for shorten the pulse. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace a NOR gate with a NAND gate, or vice versa, in You inventive circuit so that the pulse width would be changed to a desired width to meet the requirement of application. Further, the leading edge of the third pulse is determined by delayed version of the first pulse signal (output of the clock inverter circuit) by NANDing function.

5. Claims 4-5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the You reference (U.S. Patent 5,319,253) in view of the D'Souza et al. reference (U.S Patent 5,605,270).

You discloses all the claimed invention including a delay circuit comprising series connected inverters. You does not disclose an inverter having a specifically configuration as

Application/Control Number: 10/003,312 Page 4

Art Unit: 2816

recited in claim 4 and 5 of the instant application. D'Souza et al discloses in figure 3 an inverting apparatus comprising NMOS and PMOS transistors having different channel widths (i.e. 20 vs. 0.6) and a ratio of the driving capability of the PMOS transistor to that of the NMOS transistor is different from one to another, and the rise time of a pulse signal is different from a decay time of the pulse signal (i.e., 20/0.6 for PMOS and 10/0.6 for NMOS). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to replace a generic delay circuit in You with series-connected inverters each having a series-connected PMOS and NMOS transistors as taught by D'Souza. A skilled artisan would have been motivated to combine these arts to reduce current leakage and to improve noise immunity or for changing duty cycle (i.e., by varying the rising time and falling time of a signal.

As to claims 8-10, the scopes of these claims are similar to those of claims 2-5, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the similar reasons set for above.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6-7 and 16-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

It is noted that U.S. Patent 5,708,382, which was applied in the previous Office Action, has been made of record on a PTO form 892 as part of paper 9. Attached herein is another copy of PTO 892.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 11-15 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,312 Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the

prior art of record fails to disclose an apparatus comprising elements being configured as recited

in claim 11. Specifically, none of the prior art teaches or fairly suggests, among other things,

limitations "a first delay line", "a second delay line" and "a state holding section" as recited on

lines 2-8.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 703-308-4922. The

examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular

communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

An T. Luu Al

4-15-2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800